

INFLUENCE OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON GROWTH AND FLOWER YIELD OF TUBEROSE (*POLIANTHES TUBEROSA*L.) *CV.* PRAJWAL

R. Sudhagar*, M. Rajaselvam, S. Kamalakannan, S. Kumar and T. Uma Maheswari

Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608002 (T.N.) India.

Abstract

An investigation on "Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and flower yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) *cv.* Prajwal" was carried out in the floriculture yard, Department of Horticulture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu during 2017 - 2018. The treatments comprise of different combinations of recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF), vermicompost, poultry manure, farm yard manure (FYM), *Azotobacter* and phosphate solubilising bacteria (PSB). The results revealed that the maximum values for the growth attributes *viz.*, plant height (36.24, 51.75 and 58.45 cm), number of side shoots (6.82, 9.79 and 11.23), number of leaves (49.85, 70.71 and 99.17) on 90,120 and 150 DAP respectively, leaf area (90.30 and 98.76 cm²) on 90 and 120 DAP respectively and flower yield plant⁻¹ (189.06 g plant⁻¹) were recorded in the plots which received the application of 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* (a) 2 kg ha⁻¹ + PSB (a) 2 kg ha⁻¹. From the results of this experiment, it is concluded that application of 75 % recommended dose of fertilizer along with *Azotobacter* (a) 2 kg ha⁻¹ and PSB (a) 2 kg ha⁻¹ was beneficial in increasing the growth attributes and flower yield of tuberose.

Key words: Tuberose, vermicompost, poultry manure, FYM, Azotobacter, PSB.

Introduction

Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) commonly known as 'Rajnigandha' belongs to family Amaryllidaceae. It is one of the most important commercial bulbous ornamentals of sub-tropical and tropical areas and is always in great demand for its attractive and fragrant spikes as well as for producing its loose flowers. It occupies a very selective and special position to flower loving people because of its prettiness, elegance and sweet pleasant fragrance. It has a great economic potential for loose and cut flower trade and essential oil industry (Alan *et al.*, 2007). The flowers remain fresh for quite a long time and stand distance transportation and fill a useful place in the flower market (Patil *et al.*, 1999).

Plant nutrition is an important aspect for good vegetative growth and also to increase the yield with better quality of flowers. Due to increased and continuous applications of chemical fertilizers, the soil fertility is being decreased besides disruption of its various physico – chemical and biological properties. Past experiences clearly indicated that no single source of input can meet

*Author for correspondence : E-mail : sudhaflori@gmail.com

out the twin challenge to maintain higher productivity besides, sustaining the environment. Thus, it warrants for popularizing the concept of "Integrated nutrient supply system" (IPNS) which involves the conjoint use of different sources of nutrients such as chemical fertilizers, organic manures and biofertilizers.

The world elite society is giving emphasis on utilization of organic wastes, farm yard manures, compost, vermicompost and poultry manures as the most effective measure to save the environment to some extent (Pieters, 2005). Biofertilizers are less expensive, eco-friendly and sustainable and they do not require non-renewable source of energy during their production. Biofertilizer usually consists of live or latent cells of micro-organisms which include biological nitrogen fixers, P-solubilizing, mineralization of nitrogen and transformation of several elements into available forms. VAM fungi, Azotobacter, Azospirillum and phosphate solubilizing bacteria are commonly applied biofertilizers in horticultural crops (Zaredost et al., 2014). Hence, biofertilizers can be used as an alternative to the excessive use of inorganic fertilizers to maintain the soil health, fertility status as well as increasing the productivity of tuberose.

In flower production, crop management practices involving judicious combination of inorganic fertilizes, organic manure and growth promoting microorganism can be made viable and feasible for augmenting yield potential and quality flowers. Keeping this in view, the study was conducted to standardize the integrated nutrient management practice to maximize the growth and flower yield of tuberose.

Materials and Methods

The present investigation on "Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth and flower yield of tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa L.) cv. Prajwal" was carried out in the floriculture yard, Department of Horticulture, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu during 2017 - 2018. Healthy and matured uniform size bulbs of tuberose var. Prajwal were procured from a farmer's field at Kadagathur area. Dharmapuri district, Tamil Nadu. The bulbs were stored temporarily in area under a cool shady place and utilized for the present study. The experiment was laid out by following the principles of randomized block design with ten treatments replicated thrice. The treatment schedule is as follows: T₁ - 100 % RDF (200:200 kg NPK ha⁻¹), T₂ - 75 % RDF + Vermicompost 25 %, T₃ - 75 % RDF + Vermicompost 15 %, T₄ - 75 % RDF + Poultry manure 25 %, $T_5 - 75$ % RDF + Poultry manure 15 %, $T_6 - 75$ % RDF + FYM 25 %, T₇ - 75 % RDF + FYM 15 %, T₈ -75 % RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg ha⁻¹, T_{g} - 75 % RDF + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹, T_{10} - 75 % RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + PSB (a) 2 kg ha⁻¹.

The experimental area was ploughed thoroughly with tractor drawn disc plough and cultivator to bring it to a fine tilth. Basal dose of fertilizers and organic manures were incorporated during land preparation. The healthy and matured uniform sized bulbs were transplanted in an experimental plot and planted at a depth of 3-4 cm adopting a spacing of 45×20 cm. The recommended dose of fertilizers was applied to the selective plots according to the treatments. Two third of nitrogen along with full doses of P and K were applied as basal at the time of planting. One third of nitrogen was top dressed at one month after planting. Urea, super phosphate and muriate of potash were used to supply N, P and K respectively. Certain gaps were observed one week after transplanting due to mortality; such gaps were filled by gap filling with existing bulbs. Biometric observations like plant height, number of side shoots, number of leaves, leaf area and flower yield plant⁻¹ were recorded at various stages of crop growth. In each treatment five plants were tagged and used for observation. The mean value of the tagged plants was worked out and expressed for each character. The statistical analysis of data was carried out for the experiment as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985).

Results and Discussion

Growth is one of the essential parameters for the attribution of yield. Various growth attributes like plant height, number of side shoots, number of leaves, and leaf area have a significant influence on the yield; hence, these parameters were considered for the study.

In the present study, the maximum plant height during the different stages of observation (36.24, 51.75 and 58.45 cm on 90,120 and 150 DAP respectively) was recorded with the application of T_{10} (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹), while the minimum plant height was recorded with T_9 (75 % RDF + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 1). The increase in plant height may be due to favorable action of biofertilizers (*Azotobacter*) which resulted in more availability of nitrogen and certain growth substances like auxins, gibberellins, vitamins and organic acids secreted by bioinoculants. The effect of *Azotobacter* on plant height in tuberose was also confirmed by the work carried out by Yadav *et al.*, (2005) in tuberose.

The maximum number of side shoots (6.82, 9.79 and 11.23 on 90,120 and 150 DAP respectively) (Table 1) and leaves plant⁻¹ (49.85, 70.71 and 99.17 on 90,120 and 150 DAP respectively) (Table 2) was recorded with the application of T_{10} (75 % RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg ha⁻ 1 + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹), while the minimum number of side shoots and leaves plant⁻¹ were recorded with T_0 (75 % $RDF + PSB (a) 2 kg ha^{-1}$). Similar results were also reported by Gupta et al., (1999) who had recorded maximum number of branches plant⁻¹ and yield when Azotobacter and PSB in combination with 75 percent nitrogen was applied in marigold. The promotive effect of N and P on plant growth might be due to increased metabolic transport, photosynthesis and cell multiplication in marigold. The significant increase in branches plant¹ might be due to the growth regulator like NAA and cytokinins released by Azotobacter and PSB which have resulted in breaking of apical dominance and accelerated higher number of branches. The increased nitrogen nutrition (fixed by Azotobacter) might also have accelerated the process of cell division and differentiation. The above results are corroborated with the findings of Thumar et al., (2013) in African marigold.

Similar observation was recorded by Yadav *et al.*, (2005) on tuberose *cv*. Double. The PSB, *Azotobacter* or Azospirillium alone or in combination produces growth

| Treatments | Р | lant height (ci | m) | Number of side shoots plant ⁻¹ | | | |
|---|--------|-----------------|---------|---|---------|---------|--|
| | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 150 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 150 DAP | |
| $T_1 - 100 \% \text{ RDF} (200:200 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1})$ | 31.97 | 45.75 | 53.35 | 5.87 | 8.08 | 10.53 | |
| $T_2 - 75\%$ RDF + Vermicompost 25% | 30.88 | 43.54 | 51.75 | 5.69 | 7.54 | 10.08 | |
| $T_3 - 75\%$ RDF + Vermicompost 15% | 29.35 | 39.86 | 51.22 | 5.53 | 6.32 | 9.50 | |
| $T_4 - 75\%$ RDF + Poultry manure 25% | 33.37 | 48.35 | 55.48 | 6.19 | 8.80 | 11.14 | |
| $T_5 - 75\%$ RDF + Poultry manure 15% | 30.92 | 43.62 | 51.93 | 5.32 | 6.47 | 8.00 | |
| $T_6 - 75\% RDF + FYM 25\%$ | 30.18 | 41.56 | 51.45 | 5.67 | 6.98 | 9.00 | |
| T ₇ - 75 % RDF + FYM 15 % | 29.03 | 38.51 | 50.41 | 5.12 | 6.58 | 8.25 | |
| $T_8 - 75 \% RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg ha^{-1}$ | 29.28 | 39.85 | 51.11 | 5.31 | 6.16 | 8.85 | |
| $T_9 - 75 \% RDF + PSB @ 2 kg ha^{-1}$ | 28.77 | 37.35 | 49.72 | 4.93 | 5.83 | 7.27 | |
| T_{10} - 75 % RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg | | | | | | | |
| $ha^{-1} + PSB @ 2 kg ha^{-1}$ | 36.24 | 51.75 | 58.45 | 6.82 | 9.79 | 11.23 | |
| SEd | 0.14 | 0.43 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.16 | 0.23 | |
| CD(P=0.05) | 0.26 | 0.87 | 0.30 | 0.18 | 0.32 | 0.44 | |

 Table 1: Influence of integrated nutrient management on plant height (cm) and number of side shoots plant⁻¹ in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Prajwal.

Table 2: Influence of integrated nutrient management on number of leaves plant¹, leaf area (cm²) and flower yield plant¹ (g plant⁻¹) in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) cv. Prajwal.

| Treatments | Number of leaves plant ¹ | | | Leaf area (cm ²) | | Flower yield |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|---------|--|
| | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | 150 DAP | 90 DAP | 120 DAP | plant ⁻¹ (g plant ⁻¹) |
| $T_1 - 100 \% \text{ RDF} (200:200 \text{ kg NPK ha}^{-1})$ | 41.13 | 54.19 | 90.17 | 69.79 | 87.03 | 168.35 |
| $T_2 - 75\%$ RDF + Vermicompost 25% | 37.63 | 48.72 | 85.31 | 63.54 | 80.26 | 160.21 |
| $T_3 - 75\%$ RDF + Vermicompost 15% | 34.52 | 46.86 | 79.76 | 53.94 | 74.26 | 149.72 |
| $T_4 - 75\%$ RDF + Poultry manure 25% | 44.95 | 61.29 | 95.17 | 78.09 | 92.88 | 176.80 |
| $T_5 - 75\%$ RDF + Poultry manure 15% | 37.77 | 48.73 | 88.31 | 63.66 | 83.91 | 161.90 |
| $T_6 - 75\% RDF + FYM 25\%$ | 31.65 | 45.56 | 77.76 | 58.30 | 71.02 | 154.58 |
| T ₇ - 75 % RDF + FYM 15 % | 28.98 | 43.95 | 71.24 | 49.97 | 61.76 | 144.80 |
| $T_8 - 75 \% RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg ha^{-1}$ | 31.64 | 45.52 | 74.74 | 53.71 | 67.76 | 149.21 |
| $T_9 - 75 \% RDF + PSB @ 2 kg ha^{-1}$ | 26.45 | 42.45 | 67.22 | 47.64 | 52.86 | 138.52 |
| T_{10} - 75 % RDF + Azotobacter @ 2 kg ha ⁻¹ | | | | | | |
| $+ PSB @ 2 kg ha^{-1}$ | 49.85 | 70.71 | 99.17 | 90.30 | 98.76 | 189.06 |
| SEd | 0.56 | 0.73 | 1.64 | 1.15 | 2.30 | 3.06 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 1.13 | 1.45 | 3.19 | 2.30 | 4.59 | 6.14 |

promoting substances such as IAA or GA like substances Vitamin B_{12} , thiamine, riboflavin (B_2) etc, which might have helped to increase number of leaves. All these factors contribute to cell multiplication, cell enlargement and differentiation which could have resulted in better photosynthesis and ultimately exhibited better vegetative growth. Thus the number of leaves in the treatment increased significantly in comparison to control. Srivastava and Govil (2007) reported increased number of leaves in gladiolus cv. American Beauty with the application of biofertilizers *viz. Azotobacter* and PSB.

The maximum leaf area (90.30 and 98.76 cm² on 90 and 120 DAP) was recorded with the application of T_{10} (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹), while the minimum leaf area plant⁻¹ (cm²) was recorded with T_0 (75 % RDF + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹) (Table

2). The increase in leaf area with application of biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and PSB) might be due to release of growth regulators like cytokinins by biofertilizers, these growth promoting substances might have resulted in increased cell division and elongation leading to enhanced leaf expansion, thus leading to increased leaf length and leaf width which in turn resulted in higher leaf area. These findings are in close agreement with the findings of Airadevi (2012) in chrysanthemum.

Significant increase in the loose flowers yield plant⁻¹ (189.06 g plant⁻¹) was obtained when applied with T₁₀ (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* @ 2 kg ha⁻¹ + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹), while the lowest flower yield was recorded in T₉ (75 % RDF + PSB @ 2 kg ha⁻¹) (Table 2). This might be due to the fact that, biofertilizers produces growth promoting substances such as IAA, GA, vitamin B 12,

thiamine, riboflavin etc., which might have enhanced the soil fertility, thus enhanced the root and shoot development and their by growth. Thereafter it might have influenced the reproductive phase and induced flowering which resulted in increased flower yield plant⁻¹. This is in agreement with the findings of Singh *et al.*, (2015) in marigold.

From the results of this experiment it is concluded that application of 75 % recommended dose of fertilizer along with *Azotobacter* (*a*) 2 kg ha⁻¹ and PSB (*a*) 2 kg ha⁻¹ was beneficial in increasing the growth attributes and flower yield of tuberose *cv.* Prajwal.

References

- Airadevi, A.P. (2012). Integrated nutrient management studies in garland chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.). *Bioinfolet*, 9(4): 430-434.
- Alan, O., Y. Gunen, S. Ceylan and E. Gunen (2007). Effect of nitrogen applications on flower yield, some quality characteristics and leaf mineral content in tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.), Ege Tarimsal Arastirma Enstitusu Mudurlugu, Izmir, Turkey. *Aegean Agric. Res. Ins. Direc.*, **17(1):** 43-57.
- Gupta, N.S., K.L. Sadavarte, V.K. Mahorkar, B.J. Jadhao and S.V. Dorak (1999). Effect of graded levels of nitrogen and bioinoculants on growth and yield of marigold. *J. Soils* and Crops, 9(1): 80-83.

- Panse, V.G. and P.V. Sukhatme (1985). Statistical methods for Agricultural workers. ICAR, new Delhi.
- Patil, P.R., B.S. Reddy, S.R. Patil and B.S. Kulkarni (1999). Effect of community planting and fertilizer levels on growth and flower yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) *cv.* Double. *South Indian Hort.*, **47(1/6):** 335-338.
- Pieters, A.J. (2005). Green manuring: principles and practices. Agrobios, Jodhpur, India. pp. 234-37.
- Singh, P., S. Prakash, M. Kumar, S. Malik, M.K. Singh and A. Kumar (2015). Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on growth, flowering and yield in marigold (*Tagetus erecta* L.) cv." Pusa Basanti". *Ann. Hort.*, **8(1):** 73-80.
- Srivastava, R. and M. Govil (2007). Influence of biofertilizers on growth and flowering in gladiolus cv. American Beauty. *Acta Hort.*, **742:** 183-188.
- Thumar, B.V., A.V. Barad, P. Neelima and B. Nilima (2013). Effect of integrated system of plant nutrition management on growth, yield and flower quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi. *Asian J. Hort.*, **8(2)**: 466-469.
- Yadav, B.S., S. Singh, A.K. Gupta and B.S. Beniwal (2005). Effect of nitrogen, plant spacing and biofertilizers on N, P and K content in tuberose *cv*. Double. *Haryana J. Hort. Sci.*, 34 (1-2): 85-86.
- Zaredost, F., D. Hashemabadi, M.B. Ziyabari, A.M. Torkashvand, B. Kaviani, M.J. Solimandarabi and M. Zarchini (2014). The effect of phosphate biofertilizer on the growth of marigold. J. Environ. Biol., 35(2): 439-443.